Mechanical Properties and Eigenfrequencies of Graphene Sheets
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Abstract: The membrane stiffness, Young’s moduli, Poisson’s ratio and eigenfrequencies are investigated in this paper. Graphene sheet is modelled by beam elements. The properties of these elements are directly derived from interatomic potentials. Graphene sheet is modelled with commercial finite element code and the boundary conditions are applied in two different directions. From these simulations the membrane stiffness $C$, Young’s modulus $Y$ and the Poisson’s ratio $\mu$ of the graphene sheets are obtained. Then the first twenty eigenfrequencies of the graphene sheets are investigated.
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1. Introduction

Graphene is a two-dimensional hexagonal (honeycomb) lattice made of carbon atoms which size is in nanometers. Materials in nanometers are widely investigated for their extraordinary properties. Researches study their mechanical properties and their applications in classic materials. Young’s modulus, tensile or compressive strength, eigenfrequencies and buckling are investigated by number of researchers. Intensive research is also oriented to the application of nanostructures in electrical and chemical engineering, as well as in biological sciences [1].

2. Molecular Mechanics

Membrane stiffness, Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio is calculated from deformation of graphene sheet but at the beginning the knowledge of beam element parameters is necessary. For this sake we define connection between molecular mechanics and structural mechanics [2], [3]. Molecular mechanics approach is commonly used for modeling graphene sheets. However, this method and supercomputers can simulate the behavior of carbon nanotubes only with small lengths (several micrometers). Determining of solution is also a considerably time-consuming process. Therefore, using FEM to describe the behaviors of graphene sheets is better than using molecular mechanics. Graphene sheets modeling can be realized by different types of finite elements, i.e., truss, beam, linear and nonlinear spring, and shell elements.

In molecular mechanics graphene sheet can be regarded as a large molecule consisting of carbon atoms and the atomic nuclei as material points. Motions of atomic nuclei are regulated by a force field, which is generated by electron-nucleus interactions and nucleus-nucleus interaction and the force field is expressed in the
form of steric potential energy [4]. The total steric potential energy [5]-[10] omitting the electrostatic interaction, is a sum of energies due to valence or bonded interactions and non-bonded interactions and is expressed as

$$U_{\text{total}} = \sum U_r + \sum U_\theta + \sum U_\phi + \sum U_w + \sum U_{\text{vDW}} \quad (1)$$

where $U_r$, $U_\theta$, $U_\phi$, $U_w$, $U_{\text{vDW}}$ are a bond stretch interaction, a bond angle bending, a dihedral angle torsion, an improper (out of plane) torsion, a non-bonded van der Waals interaction, respectively. Representations of these interactions are given in Fig. 1 [6], [11]-[12].

![Fig. 1: Interatomic interactions in molecular mechanics][1]

The main contributions to the total steric energy come from the first four terms of equation (1). Under the assumption of small deformation, the harmonic approximation is adequate for describing the energy [5]. By adopting the simplest harmonic forms and merging dihedral angle torsion and out-of-plane torsion into a single equivalent term, we can write relations

$$U_r = \frac{1}{2} k_r (r - r_0)^2 = \frac{1}{2} k_r (\Delta r)^2, \quad (2)$$

$$U_\theta = \frac{1}{2} k_\theta (\theta - \theta_0)^2 = \frac{1}{2} k_\theta (\Delta \theta)^2, \quad (3)$$

$$U_\phi = U_w + U_\text{vDW} = \frac{1}{2} k_\phi (\Delta \phi)^2, \quad (4)$$

where $k_r$, $k_\theta$, $k_\phi$, $\Delta r$, $\Delta \theta$, $\Delta \phi$ are the bond stretching force constant, bond angle bending force constant, torsional resistance, the bond stretching increment, the bond angle change and the angle change of bond twisting, respectively [2]-[3].

To calculate the elastic moduli of beam elements we determine relations between the sectional stiffness parameters in structural mechanics and the force constants in molecular mechanics.

The sections of beams which represent carbon-carbon bonds are assumed as identical, circular and moments of inertia are $I_x = I_y = I_z$. Then we get three stiffness parameters $EA, EI$ and $GJ$ from linkage among the energy terms in molecular mechanics and continuum mechanics as

$$U_{\text{strain}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{N^2}{EA} \, dL = \frac{1}{2} \frac{N^2 L}{EA} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{EA}{L} (\Delta L)^2, \quad (5)$$

$$U_{\text{bending}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{M^2}{EI} \, dL = \frac{2EI}{L} \alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{EI}{L} (2\alpha)^2, \quad (6)$$

$$U_{\text{tension}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \frac{T^2}{GJ} \, dL = \frac{1}{2} \frac{T^2 L}{GJ} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{GJ}{L} (\Delta \beta)^2, \quad (7)$$

where $U_{\text{strain}}$ is the strain energy of a uniform beam of length $L$ subjected to axial force $N$, $\Delta L$ is axial elongation, $U_{\text{bending}}$ is the strain energy of a uniform beam under bending moment $M$, $\alpha$ is the rotational angle at the ends of the beam, $U_{\text{tension}}$ is the strain energy of a uniform beam under tension $T$ and $\Delta \beta$ is the relative rotation between the ends of the beam (Fig. 2) [2]-[3].

![Fig. 2: Tension, bending and torsion of an element.][2]

Thus by comparing equations (2)-(4) and (5)-(7) we get terms

$$\frac{EA}{L} = k_r, \quad \frac{EI}{L} = k_\theta, \quad \frac{GJ}{L} = k_\phi, \quad (8)$$

---

[1]: https://example.com/fig1.png
[2]: https://example.com/fig2.png
Then beam element (Fig. 3) is adopted and its elastic properties for further analysis are

$$d = 4\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{k_r}}, \quad E = \frac{k^2L}{4\pi k_0^2}, \quad G = \frac{k^2k_2L}{8\pi k_0^2},$$

(9)

where constants $k_r, k_0, k_1, k_2, L$ are $k_r = 6.52 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{Nnm}^{-1}, k_0 = 8.76 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{Nmrad}^{-1}, k_1 = 2.78 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{Nmrad}^{-2}$ and $L = a_{c-c} = 1.421 \text{nm}.$

![Figure 3: Space frame structure.](image)

Terms in (9) are calculated and we get diameter $d = 0.147 \text{nm}$, elastic moduli $E = 5.4875 \text{TPa}$ and $G = 0.871 \text{TPa}$ for beam elements.

### 3. Structural Analysis

The numerical computations are accomplished by commercial program Ansys. Graphene sheet is modelled as frame structure by beam elements which have had parameters mentioned above. Carbon atoms are considered to be nodes in structure and the nodes are joined by beam elements. The structural response of the graphene sheets under axial loads is analyzed. To simulate the uniaxial load, one side of the graphene sheet is restrained in axial direction with lateral displacements being allowed. The graphene sheets are stretch by applying an axial force on the opposite side. The finite element analysis is performed for two different boundary conditions (graphene sheet rotated by 90°) and from this we get two different chiralities of graphene sheets. From these simulations the membrane stiffness $C$ Young's modulus $E$ and the Poisson's ratio $\mu$ of the graphene sheets are obtained.

The membrane stiffness $C$ is obtained from equation

$$C = Yt,$$

(10)

where $Y$ is the Young's modulus and $t$ is the thickness of graphene sheet. The Young's modulus is ratio of normal stress to normal strain obtained from equation

$$Y = \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon} = \frac{F/A_0}{\Delta H/H_0},$$

(11)

where $F, A_0, \Delta H, H_0$ are total force applied on graphene sheet, the cross-section of graphene sheet, the elongation of graphene sheet and the initial length of graphene sheet, respectively. $A_0$ is equal $W_0 \cdot t$, where $W_0$ is the initial width of graphene sheet. When equation (11) is substituted to equation (10) we get membrane stiffness independent on thickness of graphene sheet.

The Poisson's ratio of graphene sheet is calculated from equation

$$\mu = -\frac{\varepsilon_W}{\varepsilon_H} = -\frac{\Delta W/W_0}{\Delta H/H_0},$$

(12)

where $\Delta W$ is constriction of graphene sheet.

When the thickness $t$ of graphene sheet is the same as diameter $d$ of the beam element [11], then Young's moduli and Poisson's ratios are computed and some results are given in Table 1.

All computed Young's moduli are shown in Fig. 4. It is clear that Young's moduli of graphene sheets increase slightly with the size of the sheets and depends on the chirality.

Poisson's ratio for graphene sheet is computed from equation (12) and corresponding charts are shown in Fig. 5.

Some authors use in equation (11) in term $A_0$ for $t$ value 0.34 nm, which is the interlayer spacing of graphite. In Table 2 are given new values of Young's moduli of graphene sheet and corresponding dependencies.

Variation of the Young's modulus is shown in Fig. 6.

Finally, in Fig. 7 is shown membrane stiffness, which is independent on thickness of graphene sheet.
Table 1: Young's moduli for different dimensions of graphene sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction of loading</th>
<th>Dimensions of graphene sheet</th>
<th>Young's modulus</th>
<th>Poisson's ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>W₀ (Å) H₀ (Å) Y (TPa) µ (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.894 56.608</td>
<td>2.57065 0.06046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.894 118.139</td>
<td>2.58394 0.06177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.894 177.209</td>
<td>2.58826 0.06239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.894 238.740</td>
<td>2.59025 0.06244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.894 297.810</td>
<td>2.59130 0.06247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.209 56.608</td>
<td>2.47216 0.0590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.209 118.139</td>
<td>2.49039 0.05912</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.209 177.209</td>
<td>2.49581 0.05930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.209 238.740</td>
<td>2.49863 0.05978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.209 297.810</td>
<td>2.49952 0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>24.612 53.998 Y (TPa) µ (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.612 113.680</td>
<td>2.38598 0.0812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.612 173.362</td>
<td>2.38904 0.0816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.612 233.044</td>
<td>2.39045 0.0818</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.612 292.726</td>
<td>2.39128 0.0820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4: Variation Young's modulus with change length of graphene sheet.

Fig. 5: Variation Poisson's ratio with change length of graphene sheet.
Table 2: Young’s moduli for different dimensions of graphene sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction of loading</th>
<th>Dimensions of graphene sheet</th>
<th>Young’s modulus $Y$ (TPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio $\mu$ (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>$W_0$ (Å)  $H_0$ (Å)</td>
<td>$Y$ (TPa)</td>
<td>$\mu$ (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.894        56.608</td>
<td>1.1114</td>
<td>0.06046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.894        118.139</td>
<td>1.1172</td>
<td>0.06177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.894        177.209</td>
<td>1.1190</td>
<td>0.06239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.894        238.740</td>
<td>1.1990</td>
<td>0.06244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.894        297.810</td>
<td>1.1204</td>
<td>0.06247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.209        56.608</td>
<td>1.0688</td>
<td>0.0590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.209        118.139</td>
<td>1.0767</td>
<td>0.05912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.209        177.209</td>
<td>1.0791</td>
<td>0.05930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.209        238.740</td>
<td>1.0803</td>
<td>0.05978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.209        297.810</td>
<td>1.0810</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.612        53.998</td>
<td>1.0275</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.612        113.680</td>
<td>1.0316</td>
<td>0.0812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.612        173.362</td>
<td>1.0329</td>
<td>0.0816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.612        233.044</td>
<td>1.0335</td>
<td>0.0818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.612        292.726</td>
<td>1.0339</td>
<td>0.0820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6: Variation Young’s modulus with change length of graphene sheet.

Fig. 7: Variation membrane stiffness with change length of graphene sheet.
4. Modal analysis

The eigenfrequencies are very important parameters of those structures because their measurement can serve as a base for the determination of elastic properties, e.g., Young’s modulus of homogenized materials. Modal analysis is performed by commercial code in Ansys APDL. Carbon atoms are joined by beam elements with mass density $\rho = 2250 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and carbon atoms are considered to be mass elements with mass $2 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{ kg}$. The two limitless boundary conditions are considered i.e. all edges of graphene sheet is free (FFFF) and all edges are clamped (CCCC). The first twenty eigenfrequencies of the graphene sheets with length 30 Å, 300 Å and 900 Å are computed and these are shown in Fig. 8 – Fig. 10.

![Graphene sheet eigenfrequencies](image1)

**Fig. 8:** Eigenfrequencies of graphene sheet with length 30 Å.

![Graphene sheet eigenfrequencies](image2)

**Fig. 9:** Eigenfrequencies of graphene sheet with length 300 Å.

![Graphene sheet eigenfrequencies](image3)

**Fig. 10:** Eigenfrequencies of graphene sheet with length 900 Å.
5. Conclusions

A linkage between molecular mechanics and structural mechanics has been proposed. For the computation is used finite element model with beam elements of proposed diameter and elastic properties (Young’s moduli). This model is based only on beam elements that represent C-C bonds and joints that represent carbon atoms. The beam connects individual atoms (joints). Analysis has been performed on graphene sheets with different dimensions like width, length and with two different loading directions. The same boundary conditions were applied consecutively to two mutually perpendicular directions.

This model was used for evaluation of Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio of graphene sheet. Young’s modulus is affected by dimensions, direction of loading of graphene sheet and Poisson’s ratio is affected by direction of loading of graphene sheet. Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratios increase slightly with increasing length of graphene sheet. Magnitudes of Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratios are comparable with results published by several researchers from theoretical, numerical (molecular, structural simulations) and experimental measurements.

The eigenfrequencies of these graphene sheets are investigated. The mass and the mass density of the carbon atoms and connections are assigned. For the totally fixed graphene sheets are eigenfrequencies two times higher and too depend on chiralities of these graphene sheets.
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