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ABSTRACT
The problem of operational efficiency assessment of purchased medical equipment is becoming critical, due to increasing public spending on medical devices. It has been shown that a significant amount of medical equipment is not in an operable state, for many different reasons. Commonly used complex operational efficiency indicators take the standby and down states of medical equipment into account together, as they do not have the potential to provide separate analyses of the efficiency of medical device management at healthcare facilities. Thus, the goal of this study was to identify and describe indicators for a more detailed assessment of operational ef-
ficiency of medical equipment in terms of different causes of the standby state. Systematization of causes of the standby state was implemented based on the performance data of different types of medical equipment. Managerial availability was developed as a measure of the operational efficiency of medical equipment, based on separating the standby state from the down state. Additional operational efficiency indicators found on components of standby time of medical equipment were suggested. The proposed indicators were calculated for some types of medical equipment as examples of its application. Proposed managerial availability levels and corresponding thresholds were suggested.

1. Introduction

Post-market surveillance is an important element of public policy to ensure the quality and safety of health care [1]. Control of the operational efficiency of medical equipment as a component of post-market surveillance is significant for regional health authorities and healthcare facilities themselves [2].

Given the current growth in spending on medical devices, it is very important to provide the highest levels of operational efficiency [2], [3]. However, a large quantity of medical equipment is not in an operable state, due to different reasons, such as a lack of staff, lack of technical services, and lack of finances to provide the maintenance [2].

The Supreme Audit Office of the Czech Republic has pointed out that public procurement of medical equipment for university hospitals during 2006–2011 was carried out without previous allocations of state analyses of already used devices, which increases the risk of inefficient spending of financial resources. In addition, the purchased medical equipment remains without proper control by the authorities [4].

Therefore, it is necessary to develop new operational efficiency indicators for medical equipment in order to carry out multi-criteria monitoring during the lifecycle of medical devices and to make reasonable decisions regarding their management.

This paper is devoted to the analysis of operating efficiency indicators for medical equipment, excluding clinical efficiency. The aim of this study was to design additional indicators of operational efficiency based on the term of availability of medical equipment, as availability characterizes the efficiency of the organization of operation processes of medical equipment from a practical point of view.

2. Operational Efficiency of Medical Equipment

In general, the operational efficiency of technical equipment is comprised of several components that characterize different aspects of the operating process, and it is defined by a set of corresponding indicators. It is common practice to point out the following components of operational efficiency: reliability, productivity, and availability [5]. The reliability of medical equipment is mainly specified at the manufacturing/production phase of the lifecycle and supported by maintenance processes [6]. Due to the specificity of the healthcare process, productivity cannot be objectively determined for all types of medical equipment. Availability is an important evaluating criterion for repairable systems, as it takes into account both reliability and maintainability properties of the system [7].

Availability is defined as “a percentage measure of the degree to which machinery or equipment is in an operable and committable state at the point in time when it is needed” [7]. By taking different definitions for this state, following types of availability are practically used: inherent, achieved, and operational availability. Operational availability is the most widely used for the complex assessment of the operational efficiency of equipment.

Operational availability is mean availability for a specific period, which takes into account planned and unplanned maintenance, administrative, and logistic delays and other causes of equipment downtime [7]. Operational availability is the result of events that occur during the lifecycle of the equipment. Operational availability is commonly defined as [7], [8], [9]:

\[
A_o = \frac{UT}{OC} = \frac{UT}{UT + DT}
\]  

where UT = uptime, the time during which equipment is operable and is able to perform its function; DT = downtime, the time during which equipment does not perform its function; and OC = operating cycle, the total duration of the considered time interval.

Operational availability is commonly used to assess the efficiency of medical equipment mainte-
nance processes [10], [11], [12]. This indicator is also used to assess the operational efficiency of medical equipment, both as a part of overall equipment efficiency [13] and separately as a standalone indicator [14].

In terms of operational efficiency analysis, the following components of uptime can be defined [7], [15], [16], [17], [18]:

- **Operating time (OT)** – the time during which the equipment is in an operable state and performs its function,
- **Idle time (IT)** – the time during which the equipment is in an operable state and does not perform its function, in accordance with the schedule,
- **Standby time (ST)** – the time during which the equipment is in an operable state and does not perform its function, not in accordance with the schedule.

In this case, the time during which the equipment is not in an operable state (corrective maintenance time (CMT) and preventive maintenance time (PMT)) is referred to as downtime (Figure 1).

Based on the definition above, operational availability can be defined as:

\[ A_o = \frac{OT + IT}{OC} = 1 - \frac{DT + ST}{OC} \]  

(2)

The numerator of the second term in Equation (2) combines the downtime, caused by technical reasons, and the standby time, caused by organizational reasons, such as administrative and logistic delays. Therefore, the evaluation of the complex indicator, operational availability, is not enough to make informed management decisions, and it is necessary to define certain types of causes of the standby state of the medical equipment [19].

### 3. Causes of Standby State of Medical Equipment

Four recently purchased equipment groups were selected to carry out the classification of possible causes of their standby state. The state of the selected medical equipment was observed, and every standby time interval was recorded, along with the associated reason. The observed causes of the standby state were classified into six basic groups for further analysis: no demand, administrative delays, lack of facilities, lack of staff, lack of consumables, and lack of components (Figure 1).

The standby state may be caused by lack of staff, when the operable equipment is not functioning due to an unscheduled lack of personnel or a vacant medical device operator position in the hospital. Lack of consumables time is related to problems with the supply of consumables necessary to operate the medical equipment, and lack of components time is caused by unavailability of the components (options) required for proper equipment operation. The condition of the facility and its preparedness for use of the devices (lack of facilities) is a frequent cause of standby state of medical equipment, when the rooms or units at the hospital are not prepared for operating medical equipment or there is a lack of required utilities. It is necessary to highlight the periods of administrative delays, related to obtaining the appropriate permissions for using the medical equipment. We should also highlight the no demand period, during which there are no indications that a certain piece of medical equipment is needed. The causes of the standby state and the related periods of operational cycle listed above are often found in studies concerned with evaluating the operational efficiency and maintenance of medical equipment [2], [13], [20], [21].

### 4. Managerial Availability and Additional Operational Efficiency Indicators for Medical Equipment
Taking into account that issues of preventive and corrective maintenance of medical equipment in developed countries are solved at a high performance level, the problem of efficient management of medical equipment in healthcare facilities should be considered separately. Based on the standby period of uptime in the medical equipment operating cycle, a new subtype of availability can be distinguished—managerial availability.

Managerial availability is defined as the proportion of uptime during which the equipment is in an operable state and able to perform its function at the point in time when it is needed, or it is in a scheduled idle state, without taking into account scheduled maintenance. Managerial availability provides the possibility of measuring the efficiency of the medical equipment management processes. Based on the definition stated above, managerial availability can be defined as:

\[
A_m = \frac{OT + IT + ND}{UT} \quad (3)
\]

The numerator in Equation (3) represents the time interval during which the equipment is in an operable state, performs its function, or is not used as planned. The no demand time intervals are counted in, as for most medical devices, this state does not characterize the efficiency of medical equipment management in the healthcare facility.

Based on practical performance data obtained from the computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) and other information systems in hospitals [22], managerial availability can be redefined as:

\[
A_m = \frac{UT - ST + ND}{UT} = 1 - \frac{ST - ND}{UT} = 1 - \frac{LCT}{UT - IT} = 1 - \frac{LCT}{OC - DT - IT} \quad (4)
\]

The same approach based on separation of causes of inefficiency can be applied to the indicator suggested above. By using the components of standby time, it is possible to propose additional operational efficiency indicators (Table 1), which characterize certain factors of medical equipment operation. Consumables support index can be defined as an example:

\[
I_c = 1 - \frac{LCT}{OT + ST} \quad (5)
\]

The second term in Equation (5) corresponds to a fraction of the lack of consumables time interval in the scheduled operating period of the medical equipment. For practical applications, Equation (5) can be redefined as:

\[
I_c = 1 - \frac{LCT}{UT - IT} = 1 - \frac{LCT}{OC - DT - IT} \quad (6)
\]

For the other observed causes of the standby state of medical equipment and the corresponding time intervals, we suggest the corresponding additional operational efficiency indicators shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Additional operational efficiency indicators for medical equipment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Expression for item</th>
<th>Indicator Expression for item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumables support index</td>
<td>( I_c = 1 - \frac{LCT}{OC - DT - IT} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components support index</td>
<td>( I_{cm} = 1 - \frac{LCMT}{OC - DT - IT} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility support index</td>
<td>( I_f = 1 - \frac{LFT}{OC - DT - IT} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff support index</td>
<td>( I_s = 1 - \frac{LST}{OC - DT - IT} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of administrative delays</td>
<td>( I_{ad} = 1 - \frac{AD}{OC - DT - IT} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application of the managerial availability and additional operational efficiency indicators allow a more comprehensive evaluation of the operational efficiency of medical equipment and improved decision making in the medical equipment management area.

### 5. Application of Developed Indicators

The medical equipment performance data from 21 medium-sized general hospitals was analyzed to determine the main causes of standby time for different groups of medical devices. The information regarding standby and downtime periods during the first 12 months after equipment installation was collected from computerized maintenance management systems for 122 units of laboratory equipment, 22 units of X-ray imaging equipment, 48 units of endoscopic devices, and 53 units of ultrasound imaging equipment. The equipment was purchased within one year with public funds. Calculation data for both operational availability and managerial availability are shown in Table 2.
The managerial availability indicator, which takes into account only organizational causes of the standby state, allows a more accurate assessment of the management and operational efficiency of the medical equipment, compared to operational availability. The differences between these two indicators can be seen in Table 2.

Analysis of the additional operational efficiency indices, including their time trends, makes it possible to operatively take management decisions and evaluate their results [23]. The obtained values of the additional indicators identify the overriding reason for the decline in managerial availability (Figure 2).

The second evaluation indicator was approximate inaccuracy coordinates of contour points. On Fig. 2 are shown the courses of mean value of these inaccuracies. Similarly, as with number of steps is interval deviation form narrowed, decrease also courses of estimated inaccuracies of coordinates. Approximately, from tenth calibration step is already decline of values less marked.

### 6. Conclusion

The main goal of operational efficiency indicators for medical equipment is to measure how well medical devices are used in hospitals in terms of the main aspects of the operating cycle structure. The different types of operational efficiency indicators, advantages, and limitations of each type have been discussed. Based on the performance data, the causes of standby were analyzed, and new operational efficiency indicators for medical equipment, its age, size and type of hospital. For the initial period of medical equipment operation in a medium-sized general hospital in a developing country, we propose the managerial availability levels and corresponding thresholds shown in Table 3. Suggested thresholds can be adjusted to suit the application conditions.
were suggested. By taking into account standby time separately from downtime, we proposed a new operational efficiency indicator-managerial availability. Additional operational efficiency indicators were also developed, based on different causes of the standby state of medical equipment.

We have illustrated the application of a proposed indicator and additional criteria to assess the operational efficiency of X-ray and ultrasound imaging equipment, endoscopic devices, and laboratory equipment in medium-sized general hospitals during the 12 months following installation of the equipment.

In contrast to raw indicators, the operational efficiency indicators described herein can provide a more detailed view of the efficiency of medical equipment management in healthcare facilities. The indicators can be used by healthcare providers in identifying weaknesses in the equipment management system and in finding ways to increase operational efficiency. By analyzing values and trends of the indicators, regional healthcare authorities can make informed decisions when assessing the efficiency of medical equipment management in the hospitals, planning new medical equipment procurement, and allocating public funds.
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