Experimental Analysis of the Effect of Cutting Conditions on Microgeometry of the Machined Surface
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ABSTRACT

Machining belongs to the basic and permanent manufacturing technology also on the present time, despite there was an idea (theoretical considering) in the publications of many experts in moulding and casting at the turn of the 70th and 80 years, that the machining could be replaced for the most part by accurate methods of casting or moulding. Although the material losses have been reduced in recent years due to approaching of components' size prepared by moulding or casting to the size desired by technical drawing, it is not possible to achieve the required accuracy of dimensions and shapes. The paper deals with statistical methods application to the evaluation of the maximum height surface roughness profile Rz and mean height surface roughness profile Ra in relation to the feed, cutting speed and depth of cut in longitudinal turning of steel C45. The main part of the paper is to demonstrate the procedure of statistical processing of experimentally obtained data in order to create a prediction model and compare it with the theoretical calculation formulas.

1. Introduction

Even at present, machining is one of the essential and permanent parts of manufacturing technologies despite the fact that at the turn of the 1970s and 1980s many experts in their publications on moulding and casting were theoretically considering that a prevailing part of machining processes could be replaced by methods of precise casting or moulding. Although in recent years the material loss has been significantly reduced as the parts dimensions prepared by moulding or casting approached the dimensions that are required by drawings, it is not possible to achieve such a required accuracy in dimensions and shapes as with machining\([1,2,3]\).

When assessing the role of machining in engineering production, its significant properties are versatility and flexibility. The versatility is based on the fact that in engineering practice there are materials that cannot be moulded or welded. Practically, there is no engineering/technical material which cannot be machined at all. Its flexibility, in turn, lies in the fact that by a simple tool and a controlled movement of both a tool and a workpiece complex shapes of parts can be machined \([4,5]\).

The main task of machining technology is to ensure optimal utilisation of manufacturing techniques, automated and conventional machine tools, to use efficient tool materials and advanced tools designs with optimal cutting conditions. Optimal use of modern manufacturing techniques consists in providing a comprehensive means for
a cutting process and ensuring basic quality requirements of the workpiece [6,7]. Optimal cutting conditions are set in order to achieve maximum efficiency and manufacturing effectiveness [9]. The surface quality, dimensional and shape accuracy, efficiency, stock removal rate and production costs are primarily dependent on the input data of the cutting process relating to a workpiece: (material, machinability, shape, surface quality), operating conditions (feed, cutting speed, depth of cut), a tool (a kind of tool sharpening, durability) and a machine [10,11].

2. Description of Problem Formulation

The Ensuring the reliability of machinery and mechanical equipment evokes the role of monitoring, evaluating and using knowledge about a real surface roughness as this will increase the quality and effectiveness of machine parts. Still, however, there are new demands on a more complete and more accurate description of the shape of the formed surface profile. The importance of an adequate surface is manifested where the interrelationship of functional areas significantly affects the development of a certain physical process. When defining and optimizing the functional areas system, it is necessary to consider surfaces of the functional areas and their corresponding characteristics. The relationship between the function and the quality of the surface area, which is created by a certain technological method, can be evaluated from two aspects. The first aspect is the spatial arrangement of the surface, which is expressed mainly by its roughness. The second one is physical and chemical properties of a surface layer of machine parts. The effect on the mentioned properties caused by the manufacturing methods in relation to the function can be expressed by the definition of surface integrity. Integrity involves the conditions under which a functional surface occurs, the effects of technological methods and their influence on the properties of the newly formed surfaces and it provides their relationship with the functional requirements of the product [12,13].

2. Generation and Status of Machined Surface

The generation and status of the machined surface are the two interrelated fields that explain the sequence of events associated with chips formation and subsequent formation of a surface layer [4,14,15]. Chips development is associated with the following:
1. the size of the shear plane angle $\phi$
2. created chips and their shape (continuous chips or chips containing elements connected by a deformed interlayer)
3. shear deformation development $\gamma_{sh}$ while the chips are being separated
4. shearing stress $\sigma$ and $\tau$, acting on a shear plane
5. friction stress $\sigma$ and $\tau$ acting on the contact back surface - machined surface
6. „Ploughing effect” with the cutting edge radius of curvature $r_p$, a cutting edge depending on the size $r_p$ in addition to shear effect acts as a graver to the machined surface
7. temperature generated in different sources
8. cutting conditions ($f, v_c, a_p$)

The summary of events associated with the chips development can be regarded as the summary of the causes that create the surface layer and check its status after the removal of the layer being cut geometrically by a certain cutting edge. When cutting by a geometrically indefinite cutting edge, the surface layer formation and its status are mainly due to the following [16,17,18]:
1. microcutting, microcovering and microsmoothing by abrasive grains
2. deformation in front of abrasive grains
3. “Ploughing effect” for microcutting
4. a medium size of free and bound abrasive grains
5. the mean number of engaged abrasive grains
6. interactions during grinding
7. temperature distribution in the surface layer
8. transfer of the generated heat into the workpiece
9. cutting conditions ($v_c, v_w$ – workpiece speed during grinding [m.min$^{-1}$], $a_p$ - width of the engagement during grinding [mm])

Unevennesses, which appear on the machined surface, in many cases have the characteristic arrangement, which is the result of mutual geometric and kinematic relations of a tool and a
workpiece and is accompanied by some physical phenomena, such as plastic deformation, heat generation, and the like. According to the machining conditions, the type of a workpiece material, a tool material, this reflects on either the change in the nature of the surface, or the change of the surface layer properties [19,20].

Machining is a technological process which creates a new surface separating particles of the material by cutting in the form of chips [21]. Cutting is the process of plastic deformation, whose development is influenced by the properties of a machined material and the conditions under which it is performed. The most important of these conditions is a deformation rate and a temperature. Considering the surface, it is important to focus on the stage of plastic deformation when the material being cut is separated in the form of chips [22]. Chipseparating is the last stage of plastic deformation, therefore it is possible to consider this phase as a form of fracture and the resulting surface as the fracture area. The type and nature of the fracture depend on the material being machined, its crystalline structure and the deformation conditions. The resulting fracture area must be considered in terms of load forces and stress acting at its formation, the required energy and the initial crack propagation rate as well as in terms of a fracture area morphology [23].

3. Problem Solution

Such a two-level factorial experiment has been used for a simple specification of the factors that statistically had a significant effect on the values variability of the Ra, Rz variables. An exact method has been applied to the investigation of a cutting conditions effect on the examined parameters of a surface roughness profile. In order to eliminate the potential influence due to the preference of one factor or a combination of factors on the expense of others, the mathematical plan of experiments was arranged in the way so that the experiments could be conducted in a randomized order. To the coded values of -1 and +1 levels, which represent the boundary of the investigated area of each factor, the levels real values (Tab. 1) were assigned.

From a user’s point of view, for the given case, cutting conditions, Tab 2, which affect the studied characteristics of the surface morphology were considered to be the factors (process parameters). In the Design of experiments theory they are called a response.

Table 1: Coded Factor Levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Č.</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>denotation</th>
<th>terminology</th>
<th>measure</th>
<th>Scales of the factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>x1</td>
<td>Cutting speed</td>
<td>m.min⁻¹</td>
<td>-1 8,792 351,68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>x2</td>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0,1 0,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>x3</td>
<td>Depth of cut</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0,1 3,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Coded condition of experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Coded conditions of runs</th>
<th>Real conditions of runs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>-1 -1 -1</td>
<td>8,792 0,1 0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>+1 -1 -1</td>
<td>351,68 0,1 0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>-1 +1 -1</td>
<td>8,792 0,5 0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>+1 +1 -1</td>
<td>351,68 0,5 0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>-1 -1 +1</td>
<td>8,792 0,1 3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>+1 -1 +1</td>
<td>351,68 0,1 3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>-1 +1 +1</td>
<td>8,792 0,5 3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>+1 +1 +1</td>
<td>351,68 0,5 3,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The actual experiment was carried out under the conditions listed in Tab. 3.

Subsequently, as the conditions of normality of repeated measurements and homogeneity of variance of repeated testing for the presence of outliers had been verified, regression analysis was performed. Based on the analysis of the performed experiments, the mathematical-statistical model of a surface roughness with longitudinal turning was developed in the form of a power function:

\[
\hat{R}_z, \hat{R}_a = 10^{b_0 + b_1 \log_{10} v_c + b_2 \log_{10} f + b_3 \log_{10} a_p}
\]  

(1)

the mathematical – statistical model of the examined roughness profile parameters can be specified in the form:

\[
\hat{R}_z = 10^{2.364 \pm 0.424 \log_{10} v_c + 0.787 \pm 0.397 \log_{10} f + 0.017 \pm 0.188 \log_{10} a_p}
\]

(2)

\[
\hat{R}_a = 10^{1.775 \pm 0.343 \log_{10} v_c + 0.176 \pm 0.321 \log_{10} f + 0.040 \pm 0.152 \log_{10} a_p}
\]

(3)
4. Results and Discussion of Achieved Results

The analysis of factor effects on the total value of the investigated roughness profile parameters confirms the conclusions of previous experiments, where the effect of cutting conditions on the parameters was examined. More detailed effects of individual factors (cutting conditions within the used intervals) are shown in figures 5 – 10.

Table 3: Experimental conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Code</th>
<th>Rz_vc_fap – 12 050.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used machine-tool</td>
<td>SU 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used cutting tool</td>
<td>Holder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting Blade</td>
<td>Cutting Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rε [mm]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWLNR</td>
<td>KNUX 190 405 EL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20 podľa ISO</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting Conditions</td>
<td>vc [m.min⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aₚ [mm]</td>
<td>f [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.892 – 351.680</td>
<td>0.10 – 3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100 – 0.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set the tool to the workpiece axis</td>
<td>h = 0 [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machined material</td>
<td>12 050.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The measuring instruments</td>
<td>MitutoyoSurftest SJ – 301 to measure parameters of surface roughness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of calculation</td>
<td>E = 1/1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chosen level of significance</td>
<td>α = 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of</td>
<td>N = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of repeated measurements for each experimental unit</td>
<td>m = 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: The Pareto diagram of the cutting conditions effect on the Rz value.

Fig. 2: The Pareto diagram of the cutting conditions effect on the Ra value.

Fig. 3: The factor effects on the resulting value Ra.

Fig. 4: Graph of cutting conditions effect on Ra.

Fig. 5: Graph of cutting conditions effect on Ra.

Fig. 6: Graph of cutting conditions effect on Ra.
Fig. 7: Graph of cutting conditions effect on Ra.

Fig. 8: Graph of cutting conditions effect on Ra.

Fig. 9: Graph of cutting conditions effect on Ra.

From the above graphs and performed analysis while evaluating individual experiments conducted by the method of the planned experiment follow the conclusions: based on Fig. 5. And Fig. 6 it can be stated that throughout the entire range of feed, increasing its values increases the conditioned value of the investigated parameter Ra, and this increase is more significant in the lower limit of the selected range of cutting speeds. In the range of small feeds (a lower limit of the selected interval), there is a slight increase in the investigated Ra parameter due to the increase in the value of the cut depth and this increase is more significant with low cutting speeds.

At the upper limit of the selected interval of feed, increasing the cutting depth at low cutting speed causes a decrease in the value of the parameter Ra. In both boundary areas of the selected interval of the cutting depth based on Fig. 7 it can be seen a decrease in the investigated parameter values due to the increase in cutting speed values, while the increase in feed rate values causes the growth of this value. A slight increase in the value of the examined parameter Ra occurs due to the increasing depth of the cut to the upper limit of the interval used, whereas this change is more evident in small feeds area. In the area of the upper limit of the selected interval of cutting speeds, this development can be considered constant. Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 confirms the previous findings. While increasing the cutting speed, the conditional values of the investigated parameter Ra decrease, while in the upper limit area of the selected feed interval, higher values of Ra are achieved. The decrease in the value of the examined parameter of the roughness profile in this area when using small depths of cut is more significant.

4. Conclusion

Based on the above calculations it can be concluded that the feed and cutting speed have the greatest impact on the studied parameters Rz and Ra. Based on the mathematical - statistical models for the identification of relations between cutting conditions and the studied parameters of a roughness profile, it is possible to draw conclusions concerning the values of optimization parameters that are consistent with the results of statistical optimization.

- statistically insignificant effect on the studied parameters of a roughness profile has a depth of cut, which is evident from the previous calculations, and graphs development,
- the feed /shift impact was the most significant, while the cutting speed is insignificant in the area of a calculated optimum,
- the effect of a cutting speed on the examined parameters in the range of applied values has been proven,
- a combination of high cutting speeds, small feeds and small depths of cutting appears the most advantageous,
- increase in the depth of cut results in a slight increase in values of the studied parameters,
- an average value of machining time effect on the value of the unevenness maximum height of a pro-
file roughness is 16%, while the share of other operating factors is 84%.

- the overall impact of cutting conditions on the examined parameters for the roughness profile Ra and Rz represents 37% with Rz and 49% with Ra; the difference being due to a smaller range of the Ra values within the used cutting conditions,
- the influence of other operating factors - characteristics of the workpiece material, tool geometry, tool wear, cutting forces acting, etc. - 63% with Rz and 70% with Ra,
- the influence of a cutting speed on the total value of Rz is 13%, while neglecting the other operating factors its impact is 36% and the influence of the speed on the Ra value is 12%, eventually 24% when neglecting the other factors,
- the impact of the feed on the overall value of the unevenness maximum height of the roughness profile is 23%, eventually 61% when neglecting the other operating factors, while the impact of the feed on the total value of the arithmetic mean deviation of the roughness profile is 34%, eventually 70%,
- a combination of high cutting speeds, small feeds and small depths of cut appears to be the most advantageous,
- impact of the feed on the total value of the arithmetic mean deviation of the roughness profile is 34%, eventually 70%,
- a combination of high cutting speeds, small feeds and small depths of cut appears to be the most advantageous.
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