The Stress State in the Three-layer Open Conical Shell During Stability Loss

Edward Tertel * Piotr Kuryło, Władysław Papacz

¹ University of Zielona Góra, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Prof. Z. Szafrana 4, 65-516 Zielona Góra

Abstract: The paper presents an analysis of the stress state in a sandwich open conical shells during of stability loss. The shells under consideration consist of a lightweight core layer and two face-layers which are load-carrying. The thickness of those faces is assumed to be equal, and the thickness of the core is about 80% of the whole shell thickness. The load carrying faces are made of isotropic, compressible, work-hardening materials. The core layer is assumed to be elastic, incompressible in the normal z direction and it resists transverse shear only. The shell under consideration is loaded by lateral pressure and longitudinal force. Deformation of the shells within the plastic range is possible before buckling. In order to determine the stress state which occurs during stability loss, the stability equations have to be derived. With respect to this research, the constitutive relations of the Nadai-Hencky deformation theory, alongside the HMM (Huber-Mises-Hencky) yield condition, are accepted in the analysis.

Keywords: Stress, buckling, sandwich shells, stability, plasticity.

1. Introduction

Thin-walled shells consisting of three layers have high structural efficiency and they are very interesting for designers and builders of lightweight, modern constructions. Those structures are more often used to design various structures (e.g. aerospace, buildings, tanks) and therefore these are well recognized in the scientific literature [1-4]. The main advantage of such structures is the load-to-weight ratio which is comparatively high. The result is obtaining shells with high bending stiffness and high compressive buckling strength on the one hand and comparatively low weight, on the other. Conical shell elements are often used in some kind of technical structures (e.g. aircraft fuselages, train bodies). Therefore, in particular applications, a sandwich conical shell element under combined external loads may provide for interesting case studies. Stability of cylindrical and conical shells is considered by many authors. Research works refer to single-layer shells [5-7], as well as bi-layered [8,9] and sandwich ones [10-13]. Various methods of sandwich structures analysis are presented in [3,4] and a large reference list is given there. Some empirical results concerning conical shells subjected to external uniform pressure are presented in [7]. In [1,2], an analysis of conical shells under various loading is presented. An interesting new method of empirical measurement of strains and stress fields in loaded structures is presented in Ref. [14]. A majority of publications accept some initial assumptions concerning changes in stress values. Most publications assume the active loading process (Shanley approach) [10-13].

This article shows the possibility of determine stress changes during stability loss.

This allows to adopt an appropriate method of loading of the construction (constant load or active load approaches). An analysis of the stress changes of sandwich conical shells under combined loading is the main objective of this paper.

2. Basic Assumptions

Several assumptions must be accepted at first. The analyzed object is a sandwich conical shell element. The shell consists of two thin face-layers and one core layer (Figure 1). In this consideration the face-layers are of equal thicknesses and are made of the same material which is compressible and isotropic. Other considerations, especially for unsymmetrical shells, could be seen in the previous publications [11,12]. The core layer is made of lightweight material and is assumed to be elastic, incompressible in the normal z direction and it resists transverse shear only. The symmetry surface of the shell is taken as the reference surface.

Fig. 1: The layered shell with the coordinate system.

The several additional assumptions, which have been taken with respect to the foregoing model, are as follows:

- the shell is shallow and thin-layered,
- the general theory of thin-layered shells and geometrically nonlinear theory and elastic-plastic properties of the faces are obligatory,
- the Kirchhoff-Love hypothesis is valid within the entire cross-section of the shell and the displacement in normal z direction does not depend on the z coordinate,
- the prebuckling stress state is the membrane one,
- the post-buckling stress state could be elastic, elastic-plastic or plastic,
- the bilinear stress-strain relation for the face-layers is accepted and the constitutive relations in the analysis are those of the Nadai-Hencky

deformation theory with the HMM yield condition,
■ there are no imperfections in the considered shell.

3. Loading and Stresses

There are two different types of loads acting on the shell under consideration. The first one is the longitudinal forces N_a , N_b applied at the edges of the shell, whereas the second one represents the surface pressure q directed perpendicularly to the shell main surface (Figure 2).

Fig. 2: The model of the shell under consideration, q , N_a , N_b – external loads.

According to the main assumptions, there is a membrane stress state in the shell. Internal forces in that stress state vary on the x -coordinate and are described as follows:

■ from the compressive axial forces:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{xN} &= \sigma_{xN} \cdot 2b = -N_a \frac{x_1}{x}, \\ N_{\phi N} &= 0, \\ T_{x\phi N} &= T_{\phi x N} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

■ from the lateral pressure:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{xp} &= \sigma_{xp} \cdot 2b = \frac{1}{2} q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{x_1}{x} \right)^2 - 1 \right], \\ N_{\phi p} &= \sigma_{\phi p} \cdot 2b = -q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha), \\ T_{x\phi} &= T_{\phi x} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

■ from the total two-parametrical load:

$$\begin{aligned} N_x &= N_{xN} + N_{xp} = \\ \sigma_x \cdot 2b &= \frac{1}{2} q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{x_1}{x} \right)^2 - 1 \right] - N_a \frac{x_1}{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_\phi &= N_{\phi N} + N_{\phi p} = \sigma_\phi \cdot 2b = -q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha), \\ T_{x\phi} &= T_{\phi x} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The limitation of the Nadai-Hencky deformation theory which is used in this work is the condition of proportional loading. Because of this, the parameter κ , which represents the ratio between the lateral and longitudinal load, is introduced:

$$\kappa = \frac{N_a}{q \cdot x_1} = \text{const}. \quad (4)$$

The stresses in the pre-buckling state of stress can, accordingly, be expressed by the external forces:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \frac{-q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha)}{4b} \cdot k, \\ \sigma_\phi &= \frac{-q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha)}{2b}, \\ \tau_{x\phi} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and the effective stress:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i &= \frac{q \cdot x \cdot \operatorname{tg}(\alpha)}{4b} \sqrt{k^2 - 2k + 4}, \\ \text{where } k &= \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_1}{x} \right)^2 \cdot \left(1 - \kappa \frac{2}{\operatorname{tg}(\alpha)} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

4. Stability Equations and Equilibrium Paths

To calculate the effective stress during the stability loss, it is necessary to calculate the critical values of the external loads. This goal was achieved and described in the previous works [11,12]. In those papers the stability equations of sandwich conical shells under combined load were analyzed and the procedure for obtaining the equilibrium paths is described in detail. Therefore, in this work the calculation procedure will be described only in a general manner. To derive the stability equations a strain energy method was accepted and the Ritz method was used to solve the stability equations.

The geometrical relations between the displacement components: u , v , w of the arbitrary point of the shell and the displacements of the points on the middle surfaces of the specific faces u_1 , u_2 , v_1 , v_2 (Figure 3) were described by the following, so called broken line, approach.

Fig. 3: The displacements of the sandwich shell element.

The displacements for the arbitrary points of the shell could be described separately for:

■ the upper face-layer $[-c-b \leq z \leq -c]$:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_\alpha + u_\beta - \left(z + c + \frac{b}{2} \right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \\ v &= v_\alpha + v_\beta - \left(z + c + \frac{b}{2} \right) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

■ the core-layer $[-c \leq z \leq c]$:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_\alpha - \frac{z}{c} \left[u_\beta - \frac{b}{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right], \\ v &= v_\alpha - \frac{z}{c} \left[v_\beta - \frac{b}{2r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \phi} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

■ the lower face-layer $[c \leq z \leq c+b]$:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_\alpha - u_\beta - \left(z - c - \frac{b}{2} \right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \\ v &= v_\alpha - v_\beta - \left(z - c - \frac{b}{2} \right) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Where: u_α , u_β , v_α , v_β are relations between the displacements of the points on the middle surfaces of the specific faces [1,2]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha &= \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2}, & u_\beta &= \frac{u_1 - u_2}{2}, \\ v_\alpha &= \frac{v_1 + v_2}{2}, & v_\beta &= \frac{v_1 - v_2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The strains and changes in curvature are expressed depending on the displacements as described above, using the non-linear geometrical relations given in [1].

The effective stress in the shell faces can exceed the yield stresses for the material of these faces. When this occurs, the constitutive relations of the Nadai-Hencky deformation theory were used to obtain stability equations. The basic form of the equations of this plasticity theory is as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = m(\varepsilon_i) \sigma_{ij} + \left[\frac{1}{3K} - m(\varepsilon_i) \right] \sigma_m \delta_{ij} \quad (11)$$

Where: σ_m is average stress, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, K , $m(\varepsilon_i)$ are the material constants.

The internal forces and moments developed by buckling were derived and can be described in a matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta N_{x(j)} \\ \delta N_{\phi(j)} \\ \delta T_{(j)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11(j)} & B_{12(j)} & -B_{13(j)} \\ B_{21(j)} & B_{22(j)} & -B_{23(j)} \\ -B_{31(j)} & -B_{32(j)} & B_{33(j)} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \delta \varepsilon_{x(j)} \\ \delta \varepsilon_{\phi(j)} \\ \delta \gamma_{x\phi(j)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta M_{x(j)} \\ \delta M_{\phi(j)} \\ \delta H_{(j)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -D_{11(j)} & -D_{22(j)} & D_{23(j)} \\ -D_{21(j)} & -D_{22(j)} & D_{23(j)} \\ D_{31(j)} & D_{32(j)} & -D_{33(j)} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \delta \kappa_{x(j)} \\ \delta \kappa_{\phi(j)} \\ \delta \kappa_{x\phi(j)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where: $j=1$ for the upper face-layer, $j=2$ for the lower face-layer.

The coefficients in Eqs.(12) are the local stiffnesses that follow the Nadai-Hencky deformation theory of plasticity.

The set of the stability equations, expressed by the displacements does not have an explicit solution. The virtual work principle and the strain energy methods comprise a basis for obtaining equilibrium equations for the considered shell. As far as the sandwich shell, the total strain energy is

the sum of the strain energy of the specified layers. If the potential of external loads is represented by L_z we have the following relations:

$$\delta U_p = \delta \left(U_w^{(1)} + U_w^{(2)} + U_w^{(3)} + L_z \right) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Where: the particular superscripts are related to the shell layers: $^{(1), (2)}$ – the face layers, $^{(3)}$ – the core layer.

The terms in Eq.(13) relating to the strain energy were described in [11,12].

The considered shell is free-supported. The displacement functions must satisfy the boundary conditions concerning the shell under consideration: the deflections at the edges of the shell are equal to zero, there are no displacements along the supports and there are no relative displacements of both layers of the shell at the edges. Bearing in mind the above conditions, the following displacement functions were accepted [1, 11-13]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha(x, \phi) &= A_2 r^2 \cos(k(x-x_1)) \sin(p\phi), \\ u_\beta(x, \phi) &= A_3 r^2 \cos(k(x-x_1)) \sin(p\phi), \\ v_\alpha(x, \phi) &= A_4 r^2 \sin(k(x-x_1)) \cos(p\phi), \\ v_\beta(x, \phi) &= A_5 r^2 \sin(k(x-x_1)) \cos(p\phi), \\ w(x, \phi) &= A_1 r^2 \sin(k(x-x_1)) \sin(p\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Where: A_i are free parameters to be determined, k , p are constants.

Using the above displacement relations in the non-linear geometrical relations, the full description of the shell potential energy U_p is obtained. Next the Ritz method was applied. This method requires that the partial derivatives of the total potential energy of the shell with respect to the parameters A_i are zero. Finally, after some transformations, a set of algebraic equations for the considered shell were obtained. The general matrix form of these equations is as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + a_{11p} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{24} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{45} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \\ A_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}A_1^2 + b_{12}A_1^3 + b_{13}A_1A_2 + b_{14}A_1A_3 + b_{15}A_1A_4 + b_{16}A_1A_5 + b_{17} \\ b_{21}A_1^2 \\ b_{31}A_1^2 \\ b_{41}A_1^2 \\ b_{51}A_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Where: a_{ij} , b_{ij} are the coefficients of the set of equations which depend on the geometrical parameters of the shell, physical properties, buckling form, and external loading.

The set of equations Eqs.(15) solved with respect to the free parameter A_1 of the deflection function Eqs.(14), following some transformations and simplifications, provides the final solution in the form of a non-linear algebraic equation:

$$q = \frac{e_1 A_1 + e_2 A_1^2 + e_3 A_1^3}{A_1 e_4 \kappa + e_5} \quad (16)$$

Where: e_i are the coefficients of the stability equation which are very complicated in form and depend on the geometrical parameters, physical properties, buckling form and external loads acting upon the shell, κ – the ratio of proportional loading.

It is not possible to find an *explicite* solution to Eq.(16) because the coefficients in this equation depend on the external loads and should be considered as a variable. A solution could be obtained numerically, using iterative methods. Therefore, iterative methods have been applied and a special computer algorithm to perform numerical calculations and elastic-plastic analysis has been developed. In Figure 4. there are sample diagrams of the equilibrium paths made for different values of the shell inclination angle (α).

Fig. 4: Equilibrium paths for various values of the shell inclination angle.

5. The Stress State During Stability Loss

The objective of this work is to determine the stress state in the face layers of the shell under consideration (Figure 1) during stability loss. Based on the values, as known, of the critical loads we

can calculate the stresses occurring in the face layers of the shell. The calculations are performed for increasing values of the parameter wu which describes the shell deflection. It is possible to describe the variability of the stress along the x-coordinate.

In the performed calculations, the following input data were accepted.

The physical parameters:

■ the load carrying layers:

- Young's modulus	E	210000 MPa
- Tangent modulus	E_t	30000 MPa
- Poisson's ratio	ν	0.3
- Yield stress	σ_p	240 MPa

■ the core layer:

- Shear modulus	G_3	26 MPa
-----------------	-------	--------

The geometrical parameters:

- Length of the shell	L	0.5 m
- Average radius of the shell	R_s	2 m
- Thickness of the core layer	$2c$	10 mm
- Thickness of the face layers	b	1 mm
- Inclination angle	α	30°
- Radial angle	β	30°

The overall parameters:

- Load ratio	κ	500
- Number of half-waves along the shell length	m	1
- Number of circumferential half-waves	n	1
- Maximum of the shell deflection parameter	$wu(max)$	2

The shell deflection parameter is defined as follows: $wu = w / (2b + 2c)$.

The surface on Figure 5 represents the changes in the stress depending on the x-coordinate measured along the shell and for various values of the shell deflection. The relation: effective stress (σ) – deflection parameter (wu) – x-coordinate on the shell (Lx) is the basis for presentation of the results obtained and discussing them. For better visualization, Figures 6a and 6b show separately a family of curves $\sigma(wu)$ and $\sigma(Lx)$ respectively. As may be noted, the shape of the curves in Figure 6a resembles the shape of the equilibrium paths shown in Figure 5. The effective stress initially increases intensively. When the deflection parameter reaches a certain value ($wu = 0.08$ for the analyzed shell) the effective stress reaches the extreme point (maximum) and the process of stability loss is initiated. Then a drop in the effective

stress can be observed. After that, having reached the next extremum (minimum), the effective stress increases again. It is noteworthy that the position of the extremal points is not dependent on the x -coordinate. On the other hand, the effective stress value depends on the x -coordinate, which can be clearly seen in Figure 6b. If the x -coordinate increases (towards the base of the cone) the effective stress drops linearly. The relative change of the effective stress remains constant and, for the considered shell, reaches the value of 11.8%.

Fig. 5: The effective stress versus the deflection and the x -coordinate: sig – the effective stress; w_u – the shell deflection parameter; L_x – the length of the shell measured along the x -coordinate ($L_x = x - x_1$ – see Figure 1).

6. Conclusions

The objective of this paper is to analyze the state of stress in the three-layer conical shell during stability loss. For the shell with the parameters accepted in the analysis, the stability loss process occurs in the elastic-plastic or plastic state of stress. The work presents the changes in the effective stress during stability loss on the entire length of the shell. Presented results show that during stability loss, the effective stress may decrease over the whole length of the shell (the unloading process). If this occurs, it seems to be more appropriate to use the so-called constant load concept (the Engesser-Karman approach) which takes into consideration the unloading and hardening of the material after the stability loss. The constant load approach is a better approach from the theoretical point of view because it takes into consideration the unloading of the material. This approach will be considered in subsequent studies.

Fig. 6: (a) The effective stress versus the deflection for selected values of the x -coordinate. (b) The effective stress versus the x -coordinate for selected values of the deflection.

7. References and notes

- [1] Zielnica J (2001). Stability of elastic-plastic shells (in Polish). Poznan Uni. of Tech.
- [2] Magnucki K, Ostwald M (2001). Stability and optimization of sandwich structures, (in Polish). –Red. of collective work, Poznan Uni. of Tech – Uni. of Zielona Gora.
- [3] Hohe J, Librescu L (2004). Advances in the structural modeling of elastic sandwich panels. *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, 11:4-5, pp. 395-424.
- [4] Vinson J R (2001). *Sandwich Structures*. Applied Mechanics Reviews, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 201-214.
- [5] Błachut J (2011). On elastic-plastic buckling of cones. *Thin Walled Structures*, no. 49, pp.45-52.
- [6] Błachut J, Ifayefunmi O (2010). Plastic buckling of conical shells. *Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering*, 132(4), 041401-1 – 041401-11.
- [7] Golzan B S, Showkati H (2008). Buckling of thin-walled conical shells under uniform external pressure. *Thin-Walled Structures*, no. 46, pp. 516–529.
- [8] Paczos P, Zielnica J (2007). Critical load of a bilayered ortho-

- tropic elastic–plastic conical shell with the change of the shell basic surface location. *Thin-Walled Structures*, no. 45, pp. 911–915.
- [9] Paczos P, Zielnica J (2008). Stability of orthotropic elastic–plastic open conical shells. *Thin-Walled Structures*, no. 46, pp. 530–540.
 - [10] Jaskula L, Zielnica J (2011). Large displacement stability analysis of elastic–plastic unsymmetrical sandwich cylindrical shells. *Thin Walled Structures*, 49, 5, pp. 611–617.
 - [11] Tertel E (2013). Stability of elastic-plastic sandwich conical shells with a change in the thickness of face-layers. *Journal of vibroengineering*, Vol. 15, pp. 684–692.
 - [12] Tertel E, Cyganiuk J, Kuryło P (2011). Buckling of elastic-plastic sandwich conical shells – theoretical studies. *International Journal of Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 129–140.
 - [13] Zielnica J (2003). Non-linear stability of elastic–plastic conical shell under combined load. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics*, no. 41(3), pp. 693–709.
 - [14] Trebuna F, Frankovsky P, Bocko J, Pastor M (2011) New possibilities of using PhotoStress method. *Acta Mechanica Slovaca*, 15(4), pp. 44–51.

Biographical notes

Edward Tertel, Dr Eng., was born in 1970 in Zagan, Poland. Since 2003 he has worked at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Zielona Góra, as an assistant professor. In 2003 he gained PhD in the field of mechanics at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Management, Poznan University of Technology. He is the author or co-author of publications in domestic and foreign journals and conference proceedings. His research work is focused on analyses of elastic-plastic stability and optimization of sandwich structures. He is a member of the Polish Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics and the Polish Society of Production Management.

Piotr Kuryło Dr Eng., was born in 1966 in Zielona Góra, Lecturer at University of Zielona Góra. Assistant Professor in Faculty of Mechanical Engineering University of Zielona Góra. Completed his doctorate (2003) at the University of Poznan University of Technology. Member of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering. Specialist professional engineering, engineering of the surface layer, automation and robotics, manufacturing technologies.

Władysław Papacz, Doc. Eng., born in 1961. Since 2001 he works on the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Zielona Góra as an assistant professor. He is graduated on Faculty of construction and operation of machines. His scientific and research work focused on the field of optimization and development of polymer composites structures. Member of Central European Composite Cluster and Polish Scientific Society of Motorization. He directed and he worked in several European projects.