* Corresponding author
E-mail address: attila.herditzky@gmail.com

Article information
Article history: AMS-Volume15-No.3-00116-11
Received 21 May 2011
Accepted 18 June 2011

Verification of the Effect of Temperature and Duration of Stamina for Heat Treatment of Zinc Coating on the Formability of Procedural AHSS Steels

Slavomír Pavelčák, Emil Spišák, Attila Herditzky*, Peter Svantner

^a Department of Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Mäsiarska 74, 040 01 Košice, Slovak Republic

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Slavomír Pavelčák, Ing. works as a clerk at the District Office in Kosice. He is postgraduate student at the Faculty of mechanical engineering, at the department of Technology and Materials. He has started his university studies in October 2007, with expected finish in May 2012. The advisor of his study and research is Mr. prof. Ing. Emil Spišák, Csc. He's working on dissertation thesis: Identifying correlation between stampability characteristics and material properties steel sheets.

Emil Spišák, prof. CSc. (born in 1955) is professor of Department of Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Košice. He is head of the Department of Technologies and Materials and Vice-Rector for Development and Construction of the University. He served as Vice-Dean for 4 years and Vice-Rector for 8 years. He works in the area of evaluating of material properties, material failures, analysis and quantification of production factors influence in production of thin steel sheet stamping parts, modelling and simulation of technological processes, mainly forming processes. He is national secretary and a member of International Deep-Drawing Research Group. He is author of 5 monographs and more than 200 publications in journals and conference proceedings at Slovakia and abroad. His published works were cited 115 times. He has been worked on 60 grant projects, research tasks and 47 projects solved for industry.

Attila Herditzky, Ing. PhD. is a teacher at a Jozef Szakkay - secondary technical school. He received M.Sc. degree in the field of Mechanical Engineering Technologies and Materials, at Faculty of Mechanical Engineering at Technical University of Košice in 2007. In 2010 he defended his dissertation at the same university. His current field of interest is focused on the compressibility of multiphase steel sheets.

Peter Švantner, Ing., is a PhD. student of the Department of Technologies and Materials at Faculty of Mechanical Engineering at Technical University of Košice. He received B.Sc and M.Sc. degree in field of Computer aided mechanical engineering at the same university in 2008 and 2010. His current field of interest is focused on the area of sheet metals for automotive industry and sheet metals with high strength applications.

KEY WORDS

Multiphase Steel, Zinc Coating, Galvannealing, Cupping Test, the Marginal Coefficient of Drag

ABSTRACT

Subject of presented contribution is process formability parameters evaluation of both

side coated TRIP and HSLA steels in basic state and after its annealing in order to Fe-Zn coating creation. The samples were annealed at 450, 500 and 550°C for 10 and 60 seconds in argon shielding gas. Obtained values are compared with each other in order to determine whether the influence of temperature and duration after annealing changed the original class inclusion on a scale to measure the quality of steel sheets for deep drawing according to the value of limit drawing ratio. Limit drawing ratio was used as a process formability criterion and were reached by modified Swift cupping test. HSLA steel H220PD and TRIP steel RAK40/70 were used as experimental materials.

1. Introduction

The main reasons for the use of steel in the construction of vehicle bodies are their strength properties, processability, relatively low cost and recyclability. Requirements for sheet metal are often contradictory. From a structural point of view there is effort to reduce sheet thickness while increasing their strength and dynamic resistance. To increase the resistance to indentation and at the same time ensuring a high absorption of energy in a vehicle accident when applying a thin sheet. From a technological point of view of manufacturing moldings body parts to enhance the requirements for their high plasticity and homogeneity properties. Of course, that sheet should also be resistant against aggressive external environment, which provides not only its structure (eg Cr content), but especially its coating (hot dip galvanizing, electrolytic zinc). Specific technology requirements and designing the material properties of individual components, therefore lead to the development of specialized products for niche applications. The picture No.1 shows the skeleton of the car body with representation of the modern conceived steel sheets, which are usually coated on both sides.

Another possible type of zinc coatings are Fe-Zn coatings, which exhibit better weld ability, corrosion resistance and material savings in comparison with pure zinc coatings. These coatings are obtained by annealing Zn coatings. Annealing after galvanizing is the process by which the transformation occurs in pure Zn coating on the intermetallic compound Fe-Zn through diffusion between Zn and steel foundation, which is usually performed in the temperatures between 440°C and 550°C.

Because shown temperatures are in the recovery of material forming temperature (warm forming), there is influence of the substrate in the development of Fe-Zn coating. [2]

The article presents to verification of the effect of temperature and duration for heat treatment of zinc coatings on marginal factor in the cup drawing test. Test principle is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Fig. 1: The various types of steel used in the manufacture of body skeleton. [1]

Fig. 2: Scheme of the cup test.

The circular test specimens with a diameter of D_0 are gradually increased drawn cylindrical extracts and the maximum diameter D_{0max} is sought, which can be taken out intact cup. In deep drawing, there is a marginal relationship between the diameter of circular blanks and depth of yield, which is proportional to its diameter "d". Marginal drag coefficient, denoted as m is determined by cup test of (1).

$$m = \frac{d_0}{D_{0max}} \quad (1)$$

Where D_{0max} is the maximum diameter blanks, in which there was no breach of extract, d_0 is the average yield. [3]

2. Performed Experiments and their Results

Material that was used in experiments:

- Alloy Steel H220PD, $a_0 = 0.80 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{M}'$
- Steel with induced transformed plasticity and TRIP RAK40/70 $a_0 = 0.75 \text{ mm}$ with a sign - "T"
- Steel plates rated M, T are mutually electrolytic zinc, where the amount of zinc is 100 g/m^2 (Z100MBO). Chemical composition of samples is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Chemical composition of steels [%].

	M	T
C	0,004	0,204
Mn	0,415	1,683
P	0,042	0,018
S	0,004	0,003
Ti	0,037	0,009
Si	0,1	0,2
Al	0,035	1,73
Cr	0,031	0,055
Cu	0,011	0,028
Ni	0,017	0,018
Nb	0,026	0,004
Mo	0,005	0,008
Zr	0,001	0,007

The conversion of Zn layer annealing was performed in laboratory conditions according to methodology developed and documented in [5,6]. For these purposes, was used kantarová flow furnace REH-B-10-60 Ø 90 mm with quartz retort.[4]

Fig. 3: Deposit the sample in the furnace kantarovéj.

From appointed materials samples were taken with size $160 \times 80 \text{ mm}$, which were annealed at 450 , 500 and 550°C with exposure time 10 and 60 seconds. Scheme of deposit of samples in the furnace is outlined in Fig. 3 In the furnace system was used to flow gas through the retort, thereby ensuring air

that cools gas samples. When the heat treatment was performed, argon shielding gases consisting of 99.99% purity were used. Selected samples from the furnace after endurance were freely cooled in air. [5,6]

For purposes of the cup test there were made circular blanks with a diameter of 52 , 60 , 68 and 80×140 blanks from which the extracts were drawn cylindrical flat-bottomed 31 mm in diameter in figure drawing tools on a laser cutting machine shown in Fig. 4 with parameters:

- diameter of median dte: 33.0 mm
- diameter of puncheon dbp: 31.0 mm
- pulling gap tm: 1.0 mm
- radius of puncheon rtk: 4.5 mm
- radius of median rte: 6.0 mm

Fig. 4: The tool used for cups test.

Measurement device that was used (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5: Evaluative device.

allows to capture the pressure of the press in real time and display measured values on a light transmitting panels, while transforming the values to the computer, where they are stored and can be further evaluated and treated. Plastic wrap as a lubricant was used in this test. Holding force on the trapezoidal threads was caused by torque wrench for microalloyed steel $M_k = 70 \text{ Nm}$ and for the TRIP steel it was $M_k = 85 \text{ Nm}$. Right measurement was ensured by this. [7,8]

Table 2: Measured traction force in cup test for samples M.

D_0 [mm]	F_{tmax} [kN]	$F_{tmax\ average}$ [kN]	F_{por} [kN]	M yield
52	16,78			
52	17,01	16,78		good
52	16,83			
60	25,54			
60	25,81	25,84		good
60	26,18			
68	32,22			
68	31,91	32,27		good
68	32,67			
			34,6	violated

Fig. 6: Determination of cut-off diameter of blanks for the sample M ($d = 31\text{ mm}$).

Table 3: Measured traction force in cup test for T samples.

D_0 [mm]	F_{tmax} [kN]	$F_{tmax\ average}$ [kN]	F_{por} [kN]	M yield
52	30,32			
52	30,28	30,33		good
52	30,39			
60	45,18			
60	45,21	45,32		good
60	45,58			
68	58,25			
68	58,80	58,58		good
68	58,58			
			34,6	violated

Maximum measured traction forces for various diameters of the blanks and strength to breach the bottom of the sample labeled M (Alloy steel) in not ignited state are shown in Tab. 2. Marginal diameter blanks defined mathematically (Fig. 6) is D_{0max}

$= 70.1\text{ mm}$. Marginal factor in drawing 1.draw of considered metal M has a value of $m = 0.442$ on a scale quality is the EDDQ-S class.

Fig. 7: Determination of the average blanks for the T sample ($d = 31\text{ mm}$).

Maximum measured traction forces for various diameters of the blanks and strength to breach the material bearing the T (TRIP steel RAK40/70) in not ignited state are shown in Tab. No. 3. Marginal diameter blanks defined mathematically (Fig. 7) is $D_{0max} = 67.98\text{ mm}$. Marginal factor in drawing of 1.draw of examination of T sheet has a value of $m = 0.456$ based quality assessment scale is category EDDQ.

Quality scale sheet by breaking drag coefficient:

- $mm \leq 0,45$ EDDQ – S
- $mm = 0,45 \div 0,48$ EDDQ
- $mm = 0,48 \div 0,50$ DDQ
- $mm = 0,50 \div 0,55$ DQ [9]

In Tab. 4 there are recorded measured peak traction forces for various diameters of the blanks and strength to breach the bottom of the sample labeled M (Alloy steel) in the annealed condition. As seen from the dependence of tensile force from the diameters of the blanks to a temperature no significant change in mechanical properties of the basic material. The effect of heat treatment had little effect on the properties sheet. Compared to the baseline condition at $450\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the force required to pull the cup rose is almost 1 kN .

Subsequently, the samples with higher temperature $500\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $550\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ the force needed to pull the cup around the value reached in pulled samples of the ground state again decreased. Tab. 5 shows the diameter limit values and limit drag coefficients, depending on heat treatment of samples on Fig. 8 is a graphical representation of changes in mar

ginal drag coefficients depending on temperature and endurance which decreased slightly only at a temperature of 5000°C and last 10 with a slightly increased.

Table 4: Maximum traction force for individual samples M (H220PD steel in annealed condition of annealing time 10s, 60s) [7].

Temperature 450 °C/ time 10 s				Temperature 450 °C/ time 60 s			
Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]			Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]		
	68,00	60,00	52,00		68,00	60,00	52,00
	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]		F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]
1	32,85	26,25	17,16	1	32,94	26,34	17,11
2	33,16	26,28	17,61	2	32,60	26,29	17,47
3		26,17	17,61	3	33,34		17,42
Average	33,01	26,23	17,46	Average	32,96	26,32	17,33
F por.			35,93	F por.			35,91
Temperature 500 °C/ time 10 s				Temperature 500 °C/ time 60 s			
Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]			Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]		
	68,00	60,00	52,00		68,00	60,00	52,00
	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]		F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]
1	33,21	26,34	17,32	1	32,20	26,35	17,29
2	33,14	26,08	17,12	2	32,97	26,48	17,41
3	33,33	26,11	17,60	3	33,13	26,43	17,36
Average	33,23	26,18	17,35	Average	32,77	26,42	17,35
F por.			35,34	F por.			35,65
Temperature 550 °C/ time 10 s				Temperature 550 °C/ time 60 s			
Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]			Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]		
	68,00	60,00	52,00		68,00	60,00	52,00
	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]		F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]
1	32,90	26,23	17,26	1	31,68	25,85	16,87
2	32,94	26,13	17,03	2	32,14	25,99	16,44
3				3		25,68	17,47
Average	32,92	26,18	17,15	Average	31,91	25,84	16,93
F por.			35,32	F por.			34,87

Table 5: Table of limit values of diameters and limit drag coefficients for microalloyed steel depending on the condition of heat treatment.

	base state	450°C/10s	450°C/60s	500°C/10s	500°C/60s	550°C/10s	550°C/60s
m [-]	0.442	0.439	0.439	0.444	0.439	0.44	0.439
d ₀ [mm]	70.1	70.66	70.62	69.89	70.55	70.05	70.68

Fig. 8: Dependence of breaking drag coefficient m on the condition of heat treatment for microalloyed steel.

In Tab. 6 there are recorded measured peak traction forces for various diameters of the blanks and

strength to breach the bottom of the sample labeled T (TRIP steel) in the annealed condition. As seen from the dependence of tensile force from the diameters of the blanks to a temperature caused a slight decrease in the force needed to pull in an average yield of 4 kN and also decreased the force required to break the bottom.

In Tab. 7 there are the limit values of diameters and limit drag coefficients, depending on heat treatment of samples.

Table 6: The maximum traction force for individual samples T (TRIP steel in the annealed state annealing time 10s, 60s) [7].

Temperature 450 °C/ time 10 s				Temperature 450 °C/ time 60 s			
Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]			Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]		
	68,00	60,00	52,00		68,00	60,00	52,00
	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]		F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]
1	52,91	41,95	28,28	1	54,11	42,19	28,27
2	53,34	42,26	28,74	2	53,95	42,23	28,23
3	52,63		29,00	3	54,27	42,45	28,63
Average	52,96	42,11	28,67	Average	54,11	42,29	28,38
F por.			53,34	F por.			54,27
Temperature 500 °C/ time 10 s				Temperature 500 °C/ time 60 s			
Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]			Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]		
	68,00	60,00	52,00		68,00	60,00	52,00
	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]		F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]
1	55,64	43,67	28,50	1	55,43	43,65	29,75
2	55,56	43,43	29,20	2	55,92	43,97	27,51
3	55,67	43,73	28,97	3	55,57	44,53	29,32
Average	55,62	43,61	28,89	Average	55,64	44,05	28,86
F por.			55,67	F por.			55,92
Temperature 550 °C/ time 10 s				Temperature 550 °C/ time 60 s			
Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]			Measurement number	Sample diameter Ø[mm]		
	68,00	60,00	52,00		68,00	60,00	52,00
	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]		F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]	F _t [kN]
1	54,42	44,19	29,79	1	54,17	43,30	28,90
2	52,00	43,35	29,79	2	54,17	43,30	28,90
3	53,77			3			
Average	53,40	43,77	29,79	Average	54,80	43,33	29,17
F por.			54,42	F por.			55,42

Table 7: Table of limit values of diameters and limit drag coefficients for TRIP steel depending on the condition of heat treatment.

	base state	450°C/10	450°C/60	500°C/10	500°C/60	550°C/10	550°C/60
m [-]	0.456	0.456	0.457	0.458	0.457	0.454	0.455
d ₀ [mm]	67.98	67.96	67.88	67.76	67.81	68.2	68.1

In Fig. 9 there is a graphical representation of changes in marginal drag coefficients depending on temperature and endurance. Dependence of breaking drag coefficient (mm) of annealed TRIP steel shows that with increasing annealing temperature and time increasing the marginal factor into drawing temperature of 500°C which is the adverse effect of annealing. At 550°C and endurance

10 and 60 seconds there is a slight decrease in the ultimate drag coefficient compared to the baseline condition.

Fig. 9: Dependence of breaking drag coefficient m on the condition of heat treatment for TRIP steel [9].

3. Conclusion

The paper presents test results of process evaluation of formability of steel sheet used in automotive-microalloyed steel and TRIP steel H220PD RAK40/70. Assessed process sheet formability was rated by cup test, during which was rated marginal dragging factor. Drag coefficient was found in microalloyed steel $m = 0.442$ and TRIP steel $m = 0.456$. These types of plates used in the automotive industry can be classified the level of quality EDDQ-S for microalloyed steel or EDDQ for TRIP steel. Because of temperature and duration of annealing the changes in breaking drag coefficient for both steels occur. In microalloyed steels is a drop to 500 °C at the time of annealing 10s. In contrast, TRIP steel cut-off factor increases slightly at temperatures up to 500 °C and to 550 °C in the annealing 10 and 60 seconds drops slightly below its original value in the annealed condition. However, we can conclude on the basis of measured results that overrun of the limits didn't occur in any of the groups of material.

4. Acknowledge

This work was supported by Agency to support research and development on the basis of the contract nr. APVV-0629-06 [10].

5. References

- [1] http://www.salzgitterflachsthal.de/en/News/Archiv/2500/dual_Phase_in_feuerveryinkter_Ausfuehrung [cit:10.10.2010]
- [2] HUDÁK, J. - TOMAŠ, M.: VPLYV TEPLoty A DOBY VÝDRŽE PRI ŽIHANÍ Zn POVLAKU NA MATERIÁLOVÚ TVÁRNITEĽNOSŤ AHSS OCELÍ. In: Transfér inovácií. Košice: SJF TU, č.15 2009

str. 128-131

- [3] JANKURA, D.- BREZINOVÁ, J.: Technické materiály Laboratórne cvičenia, Košice 2006 ISBN 80-8073-412-7
- [4] ČAJKOVÁ, L. - DŽUPON, M. - JAKUBÉCZYOVÁ, D.: Spôsob prípravy Fe-Zn povlakov na žiarovo pozinkovaných oceľových plechoch. Acta Mechanica Slovaca, roč. 12, č. 4-B/2008, s.40-45, ISSN 1335-2393
- [5] KOLLÁROVÁ, M.- DŽUPON, - LEŠKO, A. - PARILÁK, L.: Formation of Outburst Structure in Hot Dip Galvannealed Coatings on IF Steels METALURGIJA 46 (2007)1,9-14p. 9-14
- [6] Džupon, M. - Buríková, K. - Parilák, L.: Methodology of Preparation of Dual Phase Ferrite-Martensitic Steel Micro-Alloyed With V-Nb. Manufacturing engineering. Issue 1, year VII, 2008, pp. 44-48
- [7] ŠVANTNER, P.: Experimentálny výskum lisovateľnosti plechov pre automobilový priemysel s modifikovaným povrchom, Technická Univerzita v Košiciach, 2010.
- [8] STN EN 1669:2001 Skúšobné metódy. Skúška anizotropie plechov a pásov (kalíškovacia)
- [9] SPIŠÁK, E. a kol.: Dizajn moderne koncipovaných oceľí na základe charakteristík lisovateľnosti. APVV-0629-06
- [10] POLLÁK, L. - HUDÁK, J. - POLLÁKOVÁ, G. - HANDŽÁK, M.: Súdium procesov plastického pretvorenia pri hlbokom ťahaní. Grantový vedecký projekt č.1/334/94 Košice 1994-5